ID: CCA-918421-08 Number: **200913038** Release Date: 3/27/2009

Office:

UILC: 6223.02-00

From:

**Sent:** Thu 9/18/2008 4:21 PM

To: Cc:

Subject: RE: Tefra Questions

There does not seem to be a dispute that does not seem to be a dispute that the does not seem to be a dispute that the does not seem to be a dispute that the trust is a grantor trust with all of its income/losses reported directly on the return. There does not seem to be a dispute that the are indirect partners to who the income/losses would ultimately flow under Sente Investment Club v. Commissioner, 95 T.C. 243 (1990). I don't read the original Schedule K-1 as being inconsistent with any of the above, other than a clerical error in the EIN.

Since the get the items regardless of whether or Trust is listed as the direct partner -- the issue you raise appears moot. Furthermore, under section 6223(c)(3) and indirect partner can ask to be treated as a direct partner for notice purposes. The Form 1040X arguably does this.